



**How to reduce time sharing**  
Stavanger 20 September 2012

The slide features two grayscale seismic data plots. The left plot shows seismic data with significant interference, appearing as a dense, somewhat chaotic pattern of lines. The right plot shows the same data after interference removal, with much clearer, more distinct horizontal and curved layers. The central text is overlaid on a white rectangular background.


# **Seismic interference removal on broadband data**

Risto Siliqi and Gordon Poole




- ❖ Short description of Variable Depth Streamer (BroadSeis) technology for acquiring and processing broadband data
- ❖ Seismic Interference Noise on 3D broadband data (North Sea)
- ❖ State of the Art of Seismic Interference Attenuation
- ❖ SINAT technique on 3D broadband data
- ❖ Conclusions


# Variable Depth Streamer Acquisition



## Acquired Signal for any frequency bandwidth




# Variable Depth Streamer Processing



# Variable Depth Streamer Processing


**Joint Deconvolution  
is like having binocular vision**



# Variable Depth Streamer Processing



**Joint Deconvolution**  
is like having binocular vision



## 3D Deghosting:


- ✓ True amplitude
- ✓ Recovers true reflectivity
- ✓ Robust and less noisy
- ✓ Suitable for: 2D, 3D, WAZ, OBS

- ❖ Short description of Variable Depth Streamer (BroadSeis) technology for acquiring and processing broadband data
- ❖ Seismic Interference Noise on 3D broadband data (North Sea)
- ❖ State of the Art of Seismic Interference Attenuation
- ❖ SINAT technique on 3D broadband data
- ❖ Conclusions

# Interference Noise on Variable Depth Streamer



*consecutive shots for a central cable*




**6 km Variable Streamer Depth from 5m to 50 m**




# Analysis of Interference Noise

Shots aligned to Interference Noise Timing




Estimated  
Noise



Interference Noise is not identical from shot to shot


# Complexity of Interference Noise



zoom



# Complexity of Interference Noise – next shot




zoom




The character of the interference noise is changing quite quickly

# Complexity of Interference Noise



Interference Noise differences  
between consecutive shots

zoom




The character of the interference noise is changing quite quickly

# Seismic Interference

- ❖ Other vessels, periodic shots
- ❖ With different shot intervals
- ❖ From large distances
- ❖ Can be very strong
- ❖ Hyperbolic or linear patterns
- ❖ Mainly propagated through water layer
- ❖ May travel at water bottom
- ❖ Propagation mechanism unclear
- ❖ Can be guided/dispersive



**Needs to be removed!**



## Review of prior solutions



- Time sharing
- Automatic scaling or surgical blanking
- Crossline f-x prediction filtering  
(on common offset and common receiver gathers)
- Arrival time picking, coordinate estimation, flattening,  
f-k or Radon filtering
- Dynamically re-adjusting own shot interval
- Deriving interference noise timing and modeling

## Most related prior work

### Huaien et al (1989 SEG)

#### ” Attenuation of marine coherent noise”

Crossline f-x prediction filter  
(on common offset and common receiver gathers)

### Gulunay and Pattberg (2001 SEG)

#### “Seismic interference noise removal”

Inline f-x prediction error filter followed by f-x-y prediction filter

### Gulunay, Magesan, and, Baldoc (2004 SEG )

#### “Seismic Interference Noise Attenuation (**SINAT**)”

### Gulunay (2007 TLE, Dec Issue)

#### “Two different Algorithms for seismic interference noise attenuation”

# SINAT's Assumptions



## **SINAT**: **S**eismic **I**nterference **N**oise **A**Ttenuation

uses the fact that:

### **SIGNAL**

Predictable in common shot domain

Predictable in common channel domain

### **INTERFERENCE NOISE**

Predictable in common shot domain

**Unpredictable** in common channel domain





## SINAT consists of two stages:

- 1) Flagging traces and time windows affected by noise
- 2) Reconstructing the affected energy using f-x reconstruction



## The method is more effective when applied in the tau-p domain because:

- ✓ The shot-p domain naturally **separates signal and noise** when they have a different apparent velocity (they fall on different p-traces)
- ✓ This helps with more aggressive noise attenuation and **signal preservation**
- ✓ The f-x prediction will only have to **reconstruct signal** when it shares the same p-trace as the noise


## Advantages of Tau-p domain – Shot 2



# Advantages of Tau-p domain – Shot 3




# Advantages of Tau-p domain




- ❖ Short description of Variable Depth Streamer (BroadSeis) technology for acquiring and processing broadband data
- ❖ Seismic Interference Noise on 3D broadband data (North Sea)
- ❖ State of the Art of Seismic Interference Attenuation
- ❖ **SINAT technique on 3D broadband data**
- ❖ Conclusions

# Input shots (with Interference Noise)




Input shots with at least **three sources of interference noise**

# Output shots (without Interference Noise)




# Difference – Removed Interference Noise




**Broadband Seismic Interferences**



# Input stack (with Interference Noise)




# Output stack (without Interference Noise)




BroadSeis data

# Difference – Removed Interference Noise




**Broadband Seismic Interferences**

# Zoom: Input stack (with Interference Noise)




**Very well preserved Low Frequency Signal**

# Zoom: Output stack



**Very well preserved Low Frequency Signal**


# Zoom: Difference - Removed Interference Noise



**Very well preserved Low Frequency Signal**

## Comparison of full broadband processing with and without Seismic Interference Attenuation

**SI removal  
specificities**




**BroadSeis  
specificities**


# Image Gathers – sequence without SINAT



## Migration



## Mirror Migration




**Joint Deconvolution will suppress both causal and anti-causal ghosts**




# Image Gathers – sequence with SINAT



## Migration




## Mirror Migration




# Difference between sequences with and without SINAT



## Migration




## Mirror Migration



## Migration

## Mirror Migration

Joint Deconvolution will suppress both causal and anti-causal ghosts



causal  
ghost


Primary energy at image location




anti-causal  
ghost

Ghost energy at image location

## Migration




## Mirror Migration




## Difference between sequences with and without SINAT



### Migration



### Mirror Migration




**The impulsive character of Interference Noise on Image Stack makes them appearing like migration smiles**


## Zoom: Image Stack – sequence without SINAT




### Migration




### Mirror Migration



## Migration




## Mirror Migration




## Zoom: Difference between sequences with and without SINAT



### Migration



### Mirror Migration





## Joint Deconvolution Stack – sequence without SINAT



## Joint Deconvolution Stack – sequence with SINAT



## Difference between sequences with and without SINAT

- ✓ Impulsive denoise techniques are effective at interference noise attenuation
- ✓ Application in the shot-p domain improves the effectiveness by separation of signal and noise where there is a difference in apparent velocity
- ✓ Additional information about the timing of the interference source and position, combined with continuous recording, could lead to better results by:
  - *Estimate of apparent dip range of the noise*
  - *Knowledge of the timing of the noise*
  - *Use in simultaneous modelling, i.e. make tau-p model of the noise and signal in the receiver domain (with knowledge of timeshifts required to align the noise)*

- ❖ Short description of Variable Depth Streamer (BroadSeis) technology for acquiring and processing broadband data
- ❖ Seismic Interference Noise on 3D broadband data (North Sea)
- ❖ State of the Art of Seismic Interference Attenuation
- ❖ SINAT technique on 3D broadband data
- ❖ **Conclusions**

## Conclusions

- ✓ Seismic interference noise attenuation on broadband data acquired with Variable Depth Streamer (BroadSeis) is **not more problematic than on conventional data**
- ✓ As with all processing steps, it is important to ensure **low frequency** energy to be properly processed and not damaged
- ✓ Improved separation of signal and noise in the **Shot/p domain** allows application of SINAT with improved noise attenuation as well as **signal preservation**

Acknowledgements to CGGVeritas  
for the permission to show  
North Sea Cornerstone broadband data



Legacy data



BroadSeis

**Thank you for your attention!**